

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,549	03/10/2004	Jai-Ho Lee	678-1187	4413	
	03/10/2004 , Jai-Ho Lee 7590 07/31/2007 L LAW FIRM, P.C. VINGTON BOULEVARD	EXAMINER			
333 EARLE O	333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD			CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY	
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE,	NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	2617		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/797,549	LEE, JAI-HO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joy K. Contee	2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period received by the office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/2	<u>23/07</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
• • • =	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) <u>1 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	·				
·	7) Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to.					
اساره	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
4.45	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applicat	tion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure	, , , ,	1			
" 🕻	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ea.			
Attachmen						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I				

Application/Control Number: 10/797,549

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Haas et al. (Haas), US Patent No. 2004/0025018, previously used, in view of Krause,

 OVASAS OUT US Pub. No. 2003/10095983.

Regarding claim 1, Haas discloses a method for transmitting and receiving frames of a MAC (Medium Access Control) protocol layer module that processes data frames and control frames transferred from a MANET (Mobile Ad Hoc Network) protocol layer module in a station that receives frames transmitted through a MANET including a plurality of stations, the method comprising the steps of: (1) distinguishing between control frames and data frames when analyzing frames transferred from the MANET protocol layer module (pages 2-4 [0017-0029] and pages 4-5 [0037-0052]).

Haas fails to explicitly disclose (2) providing the control frames with a higher priority than the data frames, thereby enabling the control frames to preempt a medium earlier than the data frames; and (3) transmitting the control frames and the data frames in an order according to their respective priorities.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,549

Art Unit: 2617

In a similar field of endeavor, Krause discloses wherein (2) providing the control frames with a higher priority than the data frames, thereby enabling the control frames to preempt a medium earlier than the data frames; and (3) transmitting the control frames and the data frames in an order according to their respective priorities (see Page 12 [0168]).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify Haas to include prioritizing control frames over data frames for the purpose of managing network congestion as suggested in Krause.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K. Contee whose telephone number is 571.272.7906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on 571.272.7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/797,549

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC